

May 4, 2015

Europe to Breaking the Silence: Bring Us As Many Incriminating Testimonies as Possible

On May 4, 2015, the political advocacy NGO <u>Breaking the Silence</u> (BtS) published a booklet of testimonies concerning the Summer 2014 Gaza conflict. (As of the morning of May 4, it is only available in Hebrew.) It stands to reason that this publication is meant to support the UN's "Schabas" investigation and bolster attempts to bring charges against Israeli officials at the International Criminal Court (ICC). As with many other BtS publications, this report lacks all credibility and objectivity. Likewise, the extensive foreign funding that Breaking the Silence receives, as well as its international political activities, highlight the problems with this publication.

Despite the NGO's claim that its mission is to address Israeli society, BtS lobbying, media campaigns, and frequent appearances in Europe and the United States target international audiences. Next month (June 4-14), BtS activists will appear in Switzerland to present their political agenda at an event organized by supporters of pro-BDS groups.

European Funders and Their Agenda

• The publication was written with direct and indirect funding from a number of foreign governments. According to BtS, "this booklet was produced thanks to the generous contributions of: Christian Aid, Dan Church Aid, Human Rights and International Law Secretariat, Open Society Foundations, Trocaire."

Donor Organization	Donor Country	Funding for 2014 (NIS)
Christian Aid	United Kingdom	Unknown
Dan Church Aid	Denmark	309,486
Human Rights and International Law Secretariat	Sweden, Switzerland, Denmark and the Netherlands	382,953 + an emergency grant, amount unknown
<u>Trocaire</u>	Ireland	72,377
Open Society Foundations	Private- George Soros	Unknown

Contrary to BtS' claim that "the contents and opinions in this booklet do
not express the position of the funders," NGO Monitor research reveals
that a number of funders made their grants conditional on the NGO
obtaining a minimum number of negative "testimonies." This contradicts
BtS' declarations and thus turns it into an organization that represents its
foreign donors' interest, severely damaging the NGO's reliability and its
ability to analyze complicated combat situations.



- A screenshot of a document from 2009 (obtained from the Israeli Registrar of Non-Profits) shows how the British Embassy in Tel Aviv, the Dutch churchbased aid organization ICCO (primarily funded by the Dutch government), and Oxfam Great Britain (funded by the British government) required Breaking the Silence to obtain negative testimonies (full translation available from NGO Monitor):
 - Oxfam: החברה חתמה על הסכם עם Oxfam: החברה חתמה על הסכם עם Oxfam: ראיונות עם "כמה שיותר" חיילים שיעידו על ביצוע פעולות "בלתי מוסריות" הפוגעות בזכויות האדם. בשנת 2009, הארגון הבריטי תרם לחברה 74,595 ₪.
 - I.C.C.O. ההסכם מחייב את החברה לראיין לפחות 90 חיילים בשנה, להכין תיעודים של חיילות, תיעודים על כל המתחרש בחברון ולהוציא לאור "אנציקלופדיה של הכיבוש". החברה קיבלה מהארגון 42,000 יורו בשנת 2009. ההסכם תתום על ידי שני הצדדים.
 - השגרירות הבריטית: גם במקרה זה, התרומה מיועדת לביצוע תיעודים וראיונות עם חיילים המספרים על שטחים. השגרירות הבריטית תרמה 271,891 ₪ במהלך שנת 2009 לחברה.

See here for original source.

[Translated into English by NGO Monitor staff]

Oxfam: the company [BtS] signed an agreement with Oxfam, a British organization, to conduct interviews with "as many" soldiers as possible who will testify regarding [Israeli] "immoral actions" that violate human rights. In 2009, the British organization donated 74,595 NIS to the organization.

ICCO: the agreement obligates the company to interview at least 90 soldiers a year, to prepare testimonies of female soldiers, document everything that is happening in Hebron and publish an "encyclopedia of the occupation". The company received 42, 000 euros from the organization in 2009. The agreement is signed by both parties.

British Embassy: In this case as well, the donation is aimed at documenting and interviewing soldiers talking about the territories. The British embassy donated 271,891 NIS to the company in 2009.

On August 2, 2014 the <u>Human Rights and International Law Secretariat</u> (jointly funded by Sweden, Switzerland, Denmark and the Netherlands) <u>transferred</u> "emergency funding" to nine NGOs, including BtS, in order to document



"human rights and international humanitarian law violations during the course of Israel's ongoing military offensive on the Gaza Strip."

BtS's donors in 2013-2014 include the <u>European Union</u>, <u>Misereor (Germany)</u>, <u>Broederlijk Delen (Belgium)</u>, <u>Norway</u>, <u>AECID (Spain)</u>, <u>Dan Church Aid (Denmark)</u>, <u>ICCO (Netherlands)</u>, CCFD (<u>France</u>), <u>Human Rights and International Law Secretariat</u> (joint funding from <u>Sweden</u>, <u>Switzerland</u>, <u>Denmark</u> and the <u>Netherlands</u>), Sigrid Rausing Trust (<u>UK</u>), SIVMO (<u>Netherlands</u>), <u>Rockefeller Brothers Fund</u>, <u>Open Society Institute</u>, and <u>New Israel Fund</u>.

Initial Analysis

- BtS makes sweeping accusations based on anecdotal, anonymous and unverifiable testimonies of low level soldiers. These "testimonies" lack context, ignoring the fact that during the 2014 Gaza War heavy fighting took place between Israel and terror groups in Gaza, and that soldiers faced grave danger throughout the conflict from rockets, mortar shells, and terrorists emerging from tunnels dug beneath private homes. These distortions and erasures dovetail BtS' ideological agenda and fuel delegitmization campaigns against Israel.
- A careful reading of the testimonies reveals that IDF soldiers conducted
 themselves according to the norms expected of soldiers (Israeli or from other
 democratic countries) when faced with the challenges of high-intensity fighting.
 The testimonies (if indeed reliable) that portray questionable incidents should be
 fully investigated. In such instances, the testimony and relevant individuals
 should be referred to the Military Advocate General Corps, which can order an
 investigation to be opened. That BtS did not approach the MAG Corps raises
 serious questions regarding the NGO's motives.
- BtS' allegations that the IDF operated according to a principle of "minimum risk to our forces, even at the cost of harming innocent civilians" together with "an attempt to terrorize the Palestinians" and that "serious questions arise as to the moral norms that guide IDF operations" do not tally with the testimonies, and are nothing more than an attempt by the NGO to portray the events in line with its political agenda.
- In its introduction, BtS fails to mention that terrorist groups in Gaza launched rockets, dug tunnels, and placed almost all of their fighting positions in civilian areas in Gaza, including mosques, schools, and hospitals. Thus, the organization provides a partial portrayal of the rationale that guided the IDF. Additionally, BtS does not explain that the IDF used multiple methods of warning civilians to leave areas of fighting in a way that is above and beyond the norm among Western countries. Methods included leaflets, phone calls, and "roof knocking."
- In many cases, the testimonies and the headlines create an impression that soldiers wanted to commit crimes. For instance, a testimony titled "I really really wanted to shoot her in the knees" actually describes how terrorist groups used civilians and animals to attack IDF troops. Another testimony mentions that the IDF attacked Wafa Hospital, but neglects to mention the terrorists operating from within the hospital.

